

APPROACHES USED TO MEASURE DISABILITY THROUGH CENSUSES: KENYAN EXPERIENCE

Stanley Wambua
Directorate of Population and Social Statistics

KENYA NATIONAL BUREAU OF STATISTICS



INTRODUCTION

Need for disability statistics

Evidence for M&E for policies

- The Constitution of Kenya & The Persons with Disability Act 2003
- Poverty eradication
- Education for all



INTRODUCTION

- UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (May 2008)
- Sustainable Development (SDGs)

EFFORTS TO MEASURE DISABILITY

- 1999 Kenya Population and Housing Census (KPHC)
- Kenya National Survey for Persons with Disabilities conducted in 2007
- 2009 Kenya Population and Housing Census (KPHC)

- Definition of disability based on the ICF model
- Responses were not scaled to measure severity of disability
- Respondent were proxies

- •Two questions included in 2009 KPHC:
- (i) What type of disability does [NAME] have?

Response options: Visual, Hearing, speech, Physical, Mental, Self-care, Others or None

(ii) Because of the disability does
[NAME] have difficulties in engaging in
any economic activity?
Response options: Yes, No, Not
Applicable or Don't know

 Responses were not scaled to measure severity of the disability

- About 3.5 per cent of Kenya population was reported to have some form of disability
- Visual (24.9%) and hearing (14.1%) are the most prevalent forms of disability

•The proportion of PWDs increase by age from 1.4 per cent among children aged under 5 years to 29.9 per cent among the elderly aged 90 years and above

•A census monograph (2009 KPHC Analytical Report on Disability Vol. XIII) presents further analysis of disability by age and Domain; Residence and Domain; Sex and Domain; Children ever born (by women) and Orphanhood

Distribution of PWDs by domain (%)

Domain	Male	Female	Total
Visual	23.7	26.0	24.9
Hearing	13.9	14.4	14.1
Speech	13.4	11.0	12.2
Physical	26.1	24.6	25.3
Mental	11.6	8.9	10.2
Self care	4.5	7.0	5.8
Others	6.8	8.1	7.5

EXPERIENCES

- Census Questionnaire language was English. No translations into other languages were made.
- Complexity of disability
- Difficult to quantify margin of error for disability data

EXPERIENCES

- Stigma on PWDs in some cultures
- Likelihood of underreporting of PWDs for Institutional populations
- NB. Disability data collected in 1999
 KPHC was not analyzed due to poor quality

END